periodof overcharging along with the difference between the price charged on finished medicine based on this drug from the consumer and the price fixed by Government for bul drug?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (c). An amount of Rs. 59.95 lakhs has been determined to be recoverable under paragraph of DPCO, 1979 from the company for the period April 79 to July 84. Notice for recovery of the amount has been issued.

(d) The quantity involved is about 7265 kgs. and the difference in price allowed in the formulation and the actual import/purchase price varies from Rs. 341.65 kg. to Rs. 1835.40 kg.

**Supreme Court directices for the recovery of unitended benefits**

650. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact the Supreme Court directed his Ministry to recovered the amount overcharged by Parke Davis Merind Ethnor, Pfizer, Gefary Manner, John Wyeth; Hoechst and Cyanamid;

(b) if so what are the names of the bulk drugs and finished medicines on which the overcharging amount was directed to be recovered;

(c) whether his Ministry has made any assessment of the amount due on each drug/formulation of each company;

(d) if so what are the basis assessing the amount; and

(e) what was the production, export and utilization of each bulk drug involved for the period for which the overcharged amount is to be recovered along with the difference between price on which the formulation price was charged and the price fixed by Government based on each bulk drug?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b). The Supreme Court directed the Government to review the cases, and dispose them off, while approving the principles. To the extent information available is given in the enclosed statement. (*See* below).

(c) and (d) The details of the amount assessed tentatively by the special team as recoverable from the companies for the period ending 31st December 1983 and the amounts recovered till-data are given below:

| SL.No. | Name of the Company | Amount assessed | Amount recovered |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | M/s. Hoechst India Ltd. | 5,64,12,423 | 3 crores |
| 2 | M/s. Cyanamid India Ltd. | 4,92,00,247 | 50 lakhs |
| 3 | M/s. John wyeth India Ltd. | 1,66,87,232 | 25 lakhs |
| 4 | M/s. Geeffrev Manners Ltd. | 29, 85,260 | |
| 5 | M/s. Pfizer Ltd.* | 95,07,731 | 10 lakhs. |
| 6 | M/s. Ethnor Ltd. | 10,18,543 | 7,99, 134 |
| 7 | M/s. Firanco Indian Pharmaceuticals Ltd. | —— | 42,936 |

*The amount was subsequent revised by the special team as Rs. 48,21,148.

(e) The number of bulk drugs and formulations involved are very large and hence the details required are quite voluminous. The time and efforts required for collection of these details will not be commensurate with the reults likely to be achieved.

**Statement**

| Sl. No. | Name of the Company | Name of the bulk drugs/ formulations | Details of Orders passed by the Court |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | M/s. Cyanamid I Ltd. | Tetracycline & formulations | The Court directed |
| 2. | M/s. -do- | Ditehyl Carbanino formulations | to review and dispose of while approving the principles. |
| 3. | M/s Geoffrey Manners & John Wyeth Ltd. | Sonzotnine ponieillin & its formulations | -do- |
| 4. | M/s. Pfizer Ltd. | Oxytatracycline & its salts & formulations based thereon | -do- |
| 5. | M/s. Hoechst I Ltd. | Baralgon, Pyrolidine Methyl Tetrecycline, Pheniramine, Glyoabclamide, Frusemids and formulations | -do- |
| 6. | M/s. Parks Devis | Chloromphenical | -do- |
| 7. | M/s. Ethnor Ltd. | Tetramecol & its formulations | -do- |
| 8. | M/s. Anil Starch Ltd. | Doxtrose Anhydrous | -do- |
| 9. | M/s. Marine Ltd. | Doxemethasona & its formulations | -do- |
| 10. | M/s Tamil Nadu Dadha | Calcium Lactate | -do- |
| 11. | M/s. Griffen Labs & M/s. France Indian Pharmaceuticals Ltd. | Precains Penicillin V. and its formulations | -do- |
| 12. | M/s. S.G. Pharmaceuticals Ltd. | Oxyphenlbutazone, Xyloraine & formulations based thereon. | -do- |

***Modernisation project for Hindustan Cables Ltd., West Bengal***

651. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA:
SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Hindustan Cables Ltd., West Bengal, had submitted a Rs. 18 lakh modernisation projects for Government's approval; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof and what is Government's decision there-

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) There is no such proposal from Hindustan Cables Ltd., However Hindustan Cables Ltd. have submitted various proposals for modernisation of its units by undertaking the manufacture of Jelly-Filled Cables in place of Dry Core and Coaxial Cables which are likely to be phased out by Department of Telecommunications in course of time. Government have not taken a decision on these proposals so far.